#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

### **PCT**

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

| Applicant's or agent's file reference CHME-PWO-002                          | FOR FURTHER ACTION  | See item 4 below   |
|---|---|--|
| International application No. PCT/US2004/036143                             | International filing date (day/month/year) 29 October 2004 (29.10.2004) | Priority date (day/month/year)<br>31 October 2003 (31.10.2003) |
| International Patent Classification (8th See relevant information in Form F | h edition unless older edition indicated) PCT/ISA/237                   |  |
| Applicant<br>CHILDREN'S MEDICAL CENTER                                      | CORPORATION   |  |

| 1. | This international preliminary re<br>International Searching Authority                    | port on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the y under Rule 44 bis.1(a).   |
|----|---|--|
| 2. | This REPORT consists of a total   | of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.   |
|    |   | ence to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference eport on patentability (Chapter I) instead.   |
| 3. | This report contains indications i  | relating to the following items:   |
|    | Box No. I   | Basis of the report  |
|    | Вох №. П  | Priority   |
|    | Box No. III   | Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability   |
|    | Box No. IV  | Lack of unity of invention   |
|    | Box No. V   | Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement                                    |
|    | Box No. VI  | Certain documents cited  |
|    | Box No. VII   | Certain defects in the international application   |
|    | Box No. VIII  | Certain observations on the international application  |
| 4. | The International Bureau will co not, except where the applicant in date (Rule 44bis .2). | mmunicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but nakes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority |
|    |   |  |

Date of issuance of this report 01 May 2006 (01.05.2006)

Telephone No. +41 22 338 89 65

Nora Lindner

Authorized officer

Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35 Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

|  |  | PA <sup>-</sup>  | TENT COOPE   | HATION THE   | XIY                            | REC'D 0 7 MAR 2005  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--------------------------------|---|
| From the                                 | AL SEARC   | HING AUTHO   | RITY   |  |                                | WIPO POT  |
| То:                                      |  |  |  |  | Р                              | CT  |
| Se                                       | ee form PC   | CTASA/220  | ٠,   | INTERNATION  | AL S                           | PINION OF THE<br>EARCHING AUTHORITY<br>ule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)  |
|  |  |  |  | Date of mailing (day/month/year) see   | form PC                        | CT/ISA/210 (second sheet)   |
| Applicant's or a                         | agent's file re  | eference<br>)  |  | FOR FURTHER A<br>See paragraph 2 below   | CTION                          | V .   |
| International a                          |  | <b>)</b> .   | International filing date (29.10.2004  | (day/month/year)   |                                | y date <i>(day/monthlyear)</i><br>).2003  |
| International P                          | atent Classif<br>C12N5/06  | fication (IPC) or I<br>, G01N33/53   | both national classification   | and IPC  |                                |   |
| Applicant<br>CHILDREN                    | 'S MEDIC   | AL CENTER  | CORPORATION  |  |                                |   |
| Bo B | x No. I x No. II x No. III x No. IV x No. V x No. V x No. VI x No. VIII  THER ACTI  emand for in n opinion of pational Bur ot be so con opinion is, it to the IPE hs from the never expire | Basis of the op<br>Priority Non-establishe Lack of unity of<br>Reasoned state applicability; of<br>Certain docum<br>Certain defect<br>Certain observation ON International prefet internation oses an Author eau under Rule insidered.  as provided at EA a written reg date of mailing estater.  Ins., see Form F | ment of opinion with re- of Invention tement under Rule 43 <i>t</i> itations and explanation ments cited ts in the international acceptance on the international vations on the international Preliminary Examination in the international Preliminary Examination of the control of the c | gard to novelty, inventions: 1 (a)(i) with regard to one supporting such state pplication ional application ional application is made, this opinion with the population of the a written opinion of the propriets with amendments. | il usuall<br>Howeve<br>ational | and industrial applicability  y, inventive step or industrial  y be considered to be a er, this does not apply where n IPEA has notifed the Searching Authority  the applicant is invited to efore the expiration of three months from the priority date, |

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Authorized Officer

Vollbach, S

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8715



## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/036143

|    | Box No     |  |
|----|------------|--|
| 1. | the lang   | gard to the <b>language</b> , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  |
|    | lar<br>(ui | is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following guage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search or related to the purpose of the purpose o |
| 2. | With re    | gard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application and ary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:   |
|    | a. type    | of material:   |
|    |            | a sequence listing   |
|    |            | table(s) related to the sequence listing   |
|    | b. forn    | nat of material:   |
|    |            | in written format  |
|    |            | in computer readable form  |
|    | c. time    | e of filing/furnishing:  |
|    |            | contained in the international application as filed.   |
|    |            | filed together with the international application in computer readable form.   |
|    |            | furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.   |
| ;  | t          | n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto<br>has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional<br>hopies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as<br>hippropriate, were furnished.   |
|    | 4. Addit   | ional comments:  |

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/036143

| _ <u>P</u>  | οх                                | No. II   | Priority   |                                       |   |   |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| . 🗵         | 1                                 | The fol  | lowing document has  | s not been                            | furnished:                                |   |
|             |                                   | ⊠  | copy of the earlier a  | pplication                            | whose prio                                | rity has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).   |
|             |                                   |  |  |                                       |   | se priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).  |
|             |                                   | Conse  |  | 11-1-                                 |   | er the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has<br>on that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.  |
| 2. [        | 3                                 | This of  |  | blished as                            | if no priorit                             | ty had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim<br>Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international   |
| 3. E        |                                   | It has   | not been possible to   | consider t                            | he validity                               | of the priority claim because a copy of the priority documer<br>search was conducted (Rule 17.1). This opinion has<br>on that the relevant date is the claimed priority date. |
|             |                                   |  |  |                                       |   |   |
| 4 4         | hh4                               | litional   | observations, it nece  | ssary:                                |   |   |
| 4. <i>I</i> | \dd                               | litional   | observations, if nece  | ssary:                                |   |   |
| 4. <i>F</i> | Add                               | litional   |  |                                       | _   |   |
|             |                                   |  | . Doorand states   | mont und                              | er Rule 43                                | bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or   |
|             | Box<br>ind                        | x No. V<br>ustrial                                 | Reasoned states applicability; citation  | mont und                              | er Rule 43<br>xplanation                  | bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or a supporting such statement   |
|             | Box<br>ind                        |  | Reasoned states applicability; citation  | mont und                              | er Rule 43<br>xplanation                  | bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or a supporting such statement   |
| 1. :        | Box<br>indi                       | x No. V<br>ustrial                                 | Reasoned states applicability; citation  | ment undo                             | er Rule 43<br>xplanation                  | 1-4,6-11  |
| 1. :        | Box<br>indi                       | x No. V<br>ustrial                                 | Reasoned states applicability; citation  | ment undo                             | xplanation                                | is supporting such statement  |
| 1. :        | Box<br>indi<br>Star               | x No. V<br>ustrial<br>tement                       | Reasoned states applicability; citation  | ment unde<br>ons and e<br>Yes:<br>No: | xplanation<br>Claims                      | 1-4,6-11  |
| 1. :        | Box<br>indi<br>Star               | x No. V<br>ustrial<br>tement                       | Reasoned states applicability; citation  | ment unde<br>ons and e<br>Yes:<br>No: | xplanation<br>Claims<br>Claims            | 1-4,6-11  |
| 1. :        | Box<br>Indi<br>Star<br>Nov        | x No. V<br>ustrial<br>tement<br>velty (N           | Reasoned states applicability; citation  | yes:<br>No:<br>Yes:<br>No:            | Claims Claims Claims                      | 1-4,6-11<br>5,12,13   |
| 1. :        | Box<br>Indi<br>Star<br>Nov        | x No. V<br>ustrial<br>tement<br>velty (N           | Reasoned states applicability; citation (i.e., i.e., i | yes:<br>No:<br>Yes:<br>No:<br>Yes:    | Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims | 1-4,6-11<br>5,12,13   |
| 1. 3        | Box<br>Indi<br>Star<br>Nov        | x No. V<br>ustrial<br>tement<br>velty (N<br>entive | Reasoned states applicability; citation (i.e., i.e., i | yes:<br>No:<br>Yes:<br>No:<br>Yes:    | Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims | 1-4,6-11<br>5,12,13   |
| 1. 3        | Box<br>indi<br>Star<br>Nov<br>Inv | x No. Vustrial tement velty (N entive              | Reasoned states applicability; citation (IS) step (IS) applicability (IA)  | yes:<br>No:<br>Yes:<br>No:<br>Yes:    | Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims | 1-4,6-11<br>5,12,13   |

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

D1: MCNAGNY K M ET AL: "Thrombomucin, a novel cell surface protein that defines thrombocytes and multipotent hematopoietic progenitors" THE JOURNAL OF CELL BIOLOGY, ROCKEFELLER UNIVERSITY PRESS, US, vol. 138, no. 6, 1997, pages 1395-1407, XP002232095 ISSN: 0021-9525

D2: WO 03/068937

D3: GU JIAN-MING ET AL: "Disruption of the endothelial cell protein C receptor gene in mice causes placental thrombosis and early embryonic lethality." 8 November 2002 (2002-11-08), JOURNAL OF BIOLOGICAL CHEMISTRY, VOL. 277, NR. 45, PAGE(S) 43335-43343, XP008042848 ISSN: 0021-9258

D4: CRAWLEY JAMES T B ET AL: "Distribution of endothelial cell protein C/activated protein C receptor (EPCR) during mouse embryo development" THROMBOSIS AND HAEMOSTASIS, vol. 88, no. 2, August 2002 (2002-08), pages 259-266, XP002317742 ISSN: 0340-6245

#### Re Item V:

The present application relates in independent form to methods for obtaining a population of hematopoietic stem cells (claim 1) and EPCR+ cells (claim 6), the haematopoietic stem cells and the EPCR+ cells and their medical use in transplantation processes.

Methods for obtaining populations of cells with a particular cell surface marker are widely known in the art (see D1 and D2). D1 e.g. discloses a method for the purification of hematopoietic stem cells using thrombomucin as a cell surface marker. The endothelial cell protein C receptor has extensively been studied and its expression could be detected already in the early development on trophoblast giant cells of the trophectoderm (see D3) and in trophoblasts of the placenta. In the embryo expression can be detected on endothelial cells of e.g larger blood vessels (D4).

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2004/036143

Given the information of D1 or D2 i.e. methods for obtaining cells, in combination either with D3 or D4 which indicate on which cell types the EPCR is expressed, the method for the purification of obtaining EPCR+ cells according to claims 6-11 cannot be regarded to involve an inventive step as required by Article 33(3) PCT.

As far the more specific method according to claims 1-4 for the purification of hematopoietic stem cells is concerned, the present authority considers the presence of the EPCR on haematopoietic stem cells obvious, because of its importance in the embryonic development and its presence on progenitors such as trophoblast cells.

In addition, the claims directed to the cell population according to claims 5 and 12 are not new with respect to the cells disclosed in D1. The reason is that the surface marker by which the cells have been selected are inherently present on cell population selected with the help of a distinct surface marker. Therefore these claims lack novelty as set out in Article 33(2) EPC. The same applies to method claim 13 which lacks novelty with regard to D2.

### Re Item VIII.

Independent methods according to claim 1 and claim 6 are identical in their process steps. However in the first method hematopoietic stem cells are selected whereas in the second method all cells expressing the EPCR+ marker are identified. There are two possibilities: Either all EPCR+ cells are haematopoietic stem cells. In this case drafting of two independent method claims is superfluous, because of its identical scope of protection or

haematopoietic stem cells are a subpopulation of EPCR+ cells. In that case an essential technical feature is lacking in the method of claim 1 (Article 6 PCT).